



Solar Orbiter Observations of the Kelvin-Helmholtz Waves in the Solar Wind

<u>R. Kieokaew¹</u>, B. Lavraud^{1,2}, Y. Yang³, W. H. Matthaeus⁴, D. Ruffolo⁵, J. E. Stawarz⁶, S. Aizawa¹, C. Foullon⁷, V. Génot¹, R. Pinto^{1,8}, N. Fargette¹, P. Louarn¹, A. Rouillard¹, A. Fedorov¹, E. Penou¹, C. Owen⁹, T. Horbury⁶, H. O'Brien⁶, V. Evans⁶, and V. Angelini⁶

¹ Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie, CNRS, UPS, CNES, Toulouse, France

² Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Bordeaux, Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Pessac, France

- ³ Department of Mechanics and Aerospace Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, People's Republic of China
- ⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy and Bartol Research Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

⁵ Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

- ⁶ Space and Atmospheric Physics, Department of Physics, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London, UK
- ⁷ CGAFD, Mathematics, CEMPS, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

⁸ LDE3, DAp/AIM, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

⁹ Department of Space and Climate Physics, University College London, Mullard Space Science Laboratory, Holmbury St., Mary, UK

Solar Orbiter RPW Consortium, Meudon, 2 December 2021

Outline



- \circ Introduction
 - The Kelvin-Helmholtz Instability (KHI)
 - The KHI in the solar wind?
- \circ Event overview
 - Solar Orbiter position
 - Observation context
 - Shear layer observation (+ magnetic reconnection)
- \circ Analyses
 - Linear theory analysis
 - MHD simulation
 - Local configuration
 - Magnetic spectra
- Discussion
 - Why the KHI was not reported in-situ in past data?
 - Implications of the KHI in the solar wind
- o Summary

The Kelvin-Helmholtz Instability



The magnetic Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI) is a MHD shear-driven instability. It can be induced at the interface between two media with different flow velocity and plasma conditions.

KHI onset condition from linear theory [Hasegawa, 1975]:

 $\left[\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{V_1} - \mathbf{V_2})\right]^2 > \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\mu_0 m_p n_1 n_2} \left[(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B_1})^2 + (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{B_2})^2 \right] \quad \text{i.e., } \Delta V > 2V_A \text{ for uniform plasma conditions across the shear layer}$

KHI at the CME flank



KHI at the magnetopause

KH waves in the solar wind?



KHI was theoretically postulated to develop in the solar wind at the interface of the adjacent streams of different velocities in the solar wind [e.g., Parker, 1963; Burlaga, 1977; Miura and Pritchett, 1982; Korzhov et al. 1984].

KH waves were *remotely observed* in solar corona (Ofman & Thompson, 2011), at the CME flank (Foullon et al. 2011, 2013; Möstl et al. 2013) via EUV using SDO, and in a solar prominence (Hillier & Polito, 2018) using IRIS.

Recently, remote observations ⁴⁰ revealed a transition in texture of the solar wind [DeForest et al. 2016] ₂₀

Ruffolo et al. [2020] proposed that the transition is powered by shear-driven instabilities, e.g. KHI.



Solar Orbiter position



On July 23, 2020, Solar Orbiter was at R = 0.69 AU. It observed several structures in the slow solar wind.



Sun to Solar Orbiter Connectivity

MULTI-VP (Pinto & Rouillard, 2017), (Rouillard et al., 2020)



connect-tool.irap.omp.eu

Observation context





Shear layer observations





Quasi-periodic fluctuations in **B** (and **V**) within the shear layer

We mark the wave edges (1) - (7). Periodicity $\approx 7.3 \pm 0.9$ minutes

| Side 1 | Side 2 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Vr = 285 km/s | Vr = 305 km/s |
| Vt = -20 km/s | Vt = 10 km/s |
| Vn = 5 km/s | Vn = 16 km/s |
| Br = 4 nT | Br = 4 nT |
| Bt = 2 nT | Bt = -2 nT |
| Bn = 0 nT | Bn = 3 nT |
| N = 30 cm ⁻³ | N = 22 cm ⁻³ |

 $|\Delta V| = 44 \text{ km s}^{-1}, V_A = 26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ $\Delta V / V_A = 1.7$

Magnetic reconnection



Near the wave edge (3), we observe an ion jet of $\Delta V = 13$ km s⁻¹ co-located with the magnetic field rotation.



We transform the data into the local current sheet *lmn* coordinates using the hybrid MVA technique [Gosling & Phan, 2013]

I: reconnecting component; *m*: out-of-plane (guide-field) component

 \sim The Walén test predicts $\Delta V_{A,L}$ = 33 km s⁻¹ $\Delta \mathbf{V}_A \sim rac{\pm \Delta \mathbf{B}}{(\mu_0 m_p n_{ion})^{1/2}}$ / = [-0.29, 0.25, -0.92], m = [0.88, 0.44, -0.16], n = [0.37, -0.86, -0.34]

The observed jet is sub-Alfvénic.

Sub-Alfvénic jets are not unusual [e.g., Haggerty et al. 2018]

Several reconnection signatures

- A drop in |B|
- Ion number density enhancement [Gosling et al. 2005]
- Ion heating [Phan et al. 2014]

Velocity shear configuration



To best see the shear layer, we perform the maximum variance analysis [Sonnerup & Cahill, 1967] on the ion bulk velocity.



Maximum variance direction: X = [0.53, 0.79, -0.32] (i.e., shear direction $\approx T, R$) Intermediate variance direction: Y = [0.84, -0.53, 0.07] (i.e., inhomogeneous direction) Minimum variance direction: Z = [-0.12, -0.31, -0.95] (i.e., invariant $\approx N$)



However, this configuration is not a TD because there is non-zero magnetic field (B_{γ}) along the surface normal. Complex 3-D configuration?



- 1. The initial shear layer was in an equilibrium
- 2. There was no B flux across the initial shear layer



$$V_{ph}$$
 = 152 km s⁻¹. τ_{KH} = 7.3 \pm 0.9 mins

 $\lambda_{KH} = 66,400 \pm 8,400 \text{ km} (0.10 \pm 0.01 \text{ R}_{sup})$

15/12/2021



KH growth rate

$$\gamma = [\alpha_1 \alpha_2 [(\mathbf{V}_1 - \mathbf{V}_2) \cdot \mathbf{k}]^2 - \alpha_1 (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{1}} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 - \alpha_2 (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2}} \cdot \mathbf{k})^2]^{1/2}$$
Assuming

$$\Delta \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}_2 - \mathbf{V}_1 \approx (40, 0, 0)$$

$$\mathbf{k} = (k \cos \phi, 0, k \sin \phi)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ k \end{pmatrix}^2 = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{(\rho_1 + \rho_2)^2} [\Delta V_x \cos \phi + \Delta V_z \sin \phi]^2 - \frac{1}{\mu_0 (\rho_1 + \rho_2)} [B_{1,x} \cos \phi + B_{1,z} \sin \phi]^2 - \frac{1}{\mu_0 (\rho_1 + \rho_2)} [B_{2,x} \cos \phi + B_{2,z} \sin \phi]^2$$
KH growth rate

$$\int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{\rho_0 \rho_1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\rho_0 \rho_1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\rho_0 \rho_1} [B_{2,x} \cos \phi + B_{2,z} \sin \phi]^2}{(\mu_0 \rho_1 + \rho_2)} [B_{2,x} \cos \phi + B_{2,z} \sin \phi]^2$$
KH unstable!
Maximum growth rate

$$(\gamma / l_2) \circ f 16 \text{ km sc}^{-1}$$

5

0 -60

-35

-10

Angle (deg)

15

40

 (γ/k) of 16 km s⁻¹

(i.e., both are later introduced by the KH dynamics).

MHD simulation



To further test whether the observed conditions would support the KHI, we exploit an MHD simulation [Yang et al. 2016b; Ruffolo et al. 2020] using the empirical values as boundary conditions.

We set up a simulation box in the local KH frame, which moves at the KH wave phase speed ($V_{ph} \approx 150$ km s⁻¹). Since **B** perpendicular to the shear flow does not impact the KHI, we keep only in-plane **B**.



theory and MHD simulation using the considered assumptions.

B and V perturbation and boundary layer analysis



To understand the local configuration of the KHI, we derive normals of the magnetic discontinuities using $\mathbf{n} = \pm \frac{(\langle \mathbf{B}_1 \rangle \times \langle \mathbf{B}_2 \rangle)}{|\langle \mathbf{B}_1 \rangle \times \langle \mathbf{B}_2 \rangle|}$





12/15

s/c

≻R

15/12/2021

Magnetic spectra





To examine turbulence properties of the KH event,

(1) we compare magnetic spectra of the KH interval to the outside region

(2) we examine individual vortices (excluding current sheets)

The magnetic spectrum of the KHI approximately follows both power laws.

Discussion

Why in-situ KH waves were not observed before in the solar wind?

- (1) <u>KHI criterion in the solar wind</u>, which prefers $\Delta V > 2V_A$ and weak **B** in the ΔV direction
 - Near the Sun, **B** and V_A are large => KHI stabilized
 - In the interplanetary medium, V_A is decreasing and
 B and V becomes less aligned

(2) KHI timescale

- The timescale for the decay of a KH vortex is on the order of one over a few folding times. This time scale is estimated to be ~ 10 minutes for the event.
- This timescale on the order of minutes should be typical.

Implications of KH waves in the solar wind

KH waves are expected to play important roles such as allowing for plasma mixing and generating turbulence in the solar wind as mediated by KH vortex dynamics.

KH-generated turbulence

[e.g., Rossi et al. 2015]

[Erikkson et al. 2016]

We report observations of the KH waves with Solar Orbiter on July 23, 2020 at 0.69 AU, during the cruise phase. The KH wave interpretation is supported by the linear theory, MHD simulation, and boundary layer analysis.

Several KH waveforms are observed within a shear layer near the HCS with a period of \sim 7 minutes but only a few vortices are clearly noticed. The KH wavelength is approximately 66, 400 ± 8,400 km or 0.10 ± 0.01 solar radii.

Additionally, we report the observation of an ion jet consistent with magnetic reconnection at one of the outbound (trailing) edges, likely as a result of current sheet compression in between two KH vortices.

The power of the magnetic spectrum of the entire KHI interval approximately follows the power law scalings of $k^{-5/3}$ and $k^{-2.8}$ in the inertial and kinetic ranges, respectively, consistent with turbulence cascade in the solar wind.

This event provides evidence for the existence of the KH waves in the solar wind. It sheds new light to solar wind shear processes in the interplanetary medium with direct applications to shear-driven turbulence mediated by the KHI, likely contributing to the solar wind fluctuations observed at 1 AU.

Published in the A&A Special issue!

(Contact: rkieokaew@irap.omp.eu)